



Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitoring.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitoring. Washington (DC): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2005 Dec. 9 p. (ACOG practice bulletin; no. 70). [43 references]

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE
METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
QUALIFYING STATEMENTS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

- Intrapartum fetal asphyxia
- Nonreassuring fetal heart rate (FHR)

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Assessment of Therapeutic Effectiveness
Management
Prevention

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Family Practice
Obstetrics and Gynecology
Pediatrics

INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses
Nurses
Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To aid practitioners in making decisions about appropriate obstetric and gynecologic care
- To review nomenclature for fetal heart rate (FHR) assessment, review the data on the efficacy of electronic fetal monitoring (EFM), delineate the strengths and shortcomings of EFM, and describe the management of nonreassuring FHR patterns

TARGET POPULATION

Fetuses at risk for oxygen deprivation because of antepartum complications, suboptimal uterine perfusion, placental dysfunction, and other intrapartum events that may be associated with adverse outcome

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

1. Electronic fetal heart monitoring
2. Intermittent auscultation of fetal heart sounds
3. Assessment of maternal medications
4. Evaluation and treatment of persistently nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracings
5. Intrapartum fetal stimulation
6. Fetal pulse oximetry (not recommended)
7. Intrauterine resuscitation (e.g., maternal oxygenation, tocolytic therapy, beta-2-adrenergic agents, amnioinfusion, ephedrine for maternal hypotension due to anesthesia)

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Rate of intrapartum complications, including neonatal seizures, cerebral palsy, and fetal death
- Rate of unnecessary obstetric intervention, including operative vaginal or cesarean delivery
- Rate of perinatal mortality because of hypoxia versus other reasons
- False-positive rate of electronic fetal monitoring

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources)
Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources)
Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

The MEDLINE database, the Cochrane Library, and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' own internal resources and documents were used to conduct a literature search to locate relevant articles published between January 1985 and December 2004. The search was restricted to articles published in the English language. Priority was given to articles reporting results of original research, although review articles and commentaries also were consulted. Abstracts of research presented at symposia and scientific conferences were not considered adequate for inclusion in this document. Guidelines published by organizations or institutions such as the National Institutes of Health and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists were reviewed, and additional studies were located by reviewing bibliographies of identified articles.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Studies were reviewed and evaluated for quality according to the method outlined by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force:

I: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed randomized controlled trial.

II-1: Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization.

II-2: Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group.

II-3: Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments also could be regarded as this type of evidence.

III: Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses
Systematic Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Analysis of available evidence was given priority in formulating recommendations. When reliable research was not available, expert opinions from obstetrician-gynecologists were used. See also the "Rating Scheme for the Strength of Recommendations" field regarding Grade C recommendations.

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the highest level of evidence found in the data, recommendations are provided and graded according to the following categories:

Level A — Recommendations are based on good and consistent scientific evidence.

Level B — Recommendations are based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence.

Level C — Recommendations are based primarily on consensus and expert opinion.

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Practice Bulletins are validated by two internal clinical review panels composed of practicing obstetrician-gynecologists generalists and sub-specialists. The final guidelines are also reviewed and approved by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Executive Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The grades of evidence (I-III) and levels of recommendations (A-C) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field

The following recommendations are based on good and consistent scientific evidence (Level A):

- The false-positive rate of electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) for predicting adverse outcomes is high.
- The use of EFM is associated with an increase in the rate of operative interventions (vacuum, forceps, and cesarean delivery).
- The use of EFM does not result in a reduction of cerebral palsy rates.
- With persistent variable decelerations, amnioinfusion reduces the need to proceed with emergent cesarean delivery and should be considered.

The following recommendations are based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence (Level B):

- The labor of parturients with high-risk conditions should be monitored continuously.
- Reinterpretation of the fetal heart rate (FHR) tracing, especially knowing the neonatal outcome, is not reliable.
- The use of fetal pulse oximetry in clinical practice cannot be supported at this time.

Definitions:

Grades of Evidence

I: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed randomized controlled trial.

II-1: Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization.

II-2: Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group.

II-3: Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments also could be regarded as this type of evidence.

III: Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.

Levels of Recommendations

Level A — Recommendations are based on good and consistent scientific evidence.

Level B — Recommendations are based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence.

Level C — Recommendations are based primarily on consensus and expert opinion.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Improved neonatal health

POTENTIAL HARMS

Unnecessary cesarean or operative vaginal deliveries

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

These guidelines should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of treatment or procedure. Variations in practice may be warranted based on the needs of the individual patient, resources, and limitations unique to the institution or type of practice.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Patient Resources

For information about [availability](#), see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better
Staying Healthy

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitoring. Washington (DC): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2005 Dec. 9 p. (ACOG practice bulletin; no. 70). [43 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2005 Dec

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists - Medical Specialty Society

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Not stated

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: None available

Print copies: Available for purchase from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Distribution Center, PO Box 4500, Kearneysville, WV 25430-4500; telephone, 800-762-2264, ext. 192; e-mail: sales@acog.org. The ACOG Bookstore is available online at the [ACOG Web site](#).

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

None available

PATIENT RESOURCES

The following is available:

- Fetal heart rate monitoring during labor. Atlanta (GA): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2001.

Electronic copies: Available from the [American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists \(ACOG\) Web site](#).

Print copies: Available for purchase from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Distribution Center, PO Box 4500, Kearneysville, WV 25430-4500; telephone, 800-762-2264, ext. 192; e-mail: sales@acog.org. The ACOG Bookstore is available online at the [ACOG Web site](#).

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on August 3, 2007. The information was verified by the guideline developer on September 10, 2007.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/29/2008

